



## Note

## Maximum induced matchings in graphs

Jiping Liu<sup>a</sup>, Huishan Zhou<sup>b,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB., Canada, T1K 3M4*<sup>b</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3083, USA*

Received 2 February 1993; revised 14 November 1995

---

**Abstract**

We provide a formula for the number of edges of a maximum induced matching in a graph. As applications, we give some structural properties of  $(k+1)K_2$ -free graphs, construct all  $2K_2$ -free graphs, and count the number of labeled  $2K_2$ -free connected bipartite graphs.

---

**1. Introduction**

All graphs in this paper are understood to be finite, undirected, without loops, multiple edges or isolated vertices. In a graph  $G$ ,  $V = V(G)$  is the vertex set and  $E = E(G)$  is the edge set of the graph  $G$ . For a vertex  $x$  of  $V$ ,  $N(x)$  is the set of neighbors of  $x$ . For a set  $X \subseteq V$ ,  $N(X)$  is the union of  $N(x)$  for  $x \in X$ . The graph  $G'$  with vertex set  $V'$  and edge set  $E'$  is an *induced subgraph* of  $G = (V, E)$  if  $V' \subseteq V$  and  $uv \in E'$ ,  $u, v \in V'$  if and only if  $uv \in E$ . If the induced subgraph  $G'$  is a matching of  $k$  edges, then  $G'$  is an *induced  $kK_2$*  or an *induced  $k$ -matching*. If  $G$  does not contain an induced  $(k+1)K_2$ , then  $G$  is a  $(k+1)K_2$ -free graph.

The first result about the  $(k+1)K_2$ -free graph can be found in [11] where a polynomial bound was given for the chromatic number of a  $(k+1)K_2$ -free graph in terms of the clique number. In contrast, there is no bound on the chromatic number of a graph in terms of its clique number in general. The extremal  $(k+1)K_2$ -free bipartite graphs with maximum degree  $d$  were considered in [6]; the largest such bipartite graph has  $kd^2$  edges, and the number of edges of a connected  $(k+1)K_2$ -free extremal bipartite graph is no more than  $kd^2 - d$ ; furthermore, all the extremal bipartite graphs were constructed.

In this paper, we provide max–min formulas for the maximum size of an induced matching in a bipartite graph and in a general graph. When the size is 0, we obtain characterizations of  $(k+1)K_2$ -free bipartite graphs and general  $(k+1)K_2$ -free

graphs. The special case of  $2K_2$ -free graphs was studied in [4,5,7–9]. The problem of determining the maximum number of edges in a  $2K_2$ -free graph with a given maximum degree, proposed by Bermond et al. in [1] and also by Erdős and Nešetřil was solved by Chung et al. in [4] by deriving and applying structural properties of  $2K_2$ -free graphs. Based on our characterization of  $(k+1)K_2$ -free bipartite graphs, we can construct all  $2K_2$ -free bipartite graphs and enumerate the number of labeled  $2K_2$ -free bipartite graphs.

Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$  be a collection of subsets of a finite set. The set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  is said to be a *system of distinct representatives* (SDR) for the collection if  $x_i \in S_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Now we formulate a slightly different problem: Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$  be a collection of subsets of a finite set. The set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  is called a *strong system of distinct representatives* (SSDR) for the collection if  $x_i \in S_i - \bigcup_{j \neq i} S_j$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . From a given finite collection  $\Phi$  of subsets of a finite set  $S$ , two problems can be formulated:

- (1) Find the maximum subcollection  $\Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi$  such that  $\Phi_1$  has an SDR.
- (2) Find the maximum subcollection  $\Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi$  such that  $\Phi_1$  has an SSDR.

While there is a polynomial algorithm to solve the first problem, the second problem is NP-complete. In fact, the second problem is equivalent to the following problem:

*Is there an induced matching of size  $k$  in a bipartite graph  $G$ ?*

Cameron [3] proved that this problem is NP-complete.

## 2. Characterization of $(k+1)K_2$ -free graphs

Let  $\alpha''(G)$  be the number of edges of a maximum induced matching in a graph  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any graph  $G$ , let*

$$f(G) = \max_{G'} \min\{|X'| : X' \subseteq X \text{ and } Y \subseteq N(X')\},$$

where  $G'$  is an induced bipartite subgraph with partite sets  $X, Y$  and no isolated vertices. Then  $\alpha''(G) = f(G)$ .

**Proof.** Fix an induced bipartite subgraph  $G'$  with partite sets  $X, Y$  and no isolated vertices. Let  $X_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \subseteq X$  be such that

$$|X_1| = \min\{|X'| : X' \subseteq X \text{ and } Y \subseteq N(X')\}.$$

Then  $N(x_i) \cap Y \not\subseteq (\bigcup_{j \neq i} N(x_j)) \cap Y$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , by the minimality of  $|X_1|$ . Choose  $y_i \in Y \cap N(x_i) - (\bigcup_{j \neq i} N(x_j))$ . Then  $\{x_i y_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$  is an induced matching of  $G'$  and is also an induced matching of  $G$ . Therefore,  $\alpha''(G) \geq f(G)$ .

On the other hand, let  $\{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_k y_k\}$  be a maximum induced matching of  $G$ , where  $k = \alpha''(G)$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ . Then  $X \cup Y$  induces  $kK_2$ . If  $X' \subsetneq X$  and  $x_i \notin X'$ , then  $y_i \notin N(X')$  as  $\{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_k y_k\}$  is an induced matching

of  $G$ . Hence, if  $Y \subseteq N(X')$ , then  $X' = X$ . So for this special  $G'$ ,  $\min\{|X'| : X' \subseteq X \text{ and } Y \subseteq N(X')\} = k$ . This shows that  $\alpha''(G) \leq f(G)$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

The following is a restatement of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** *A graph  $G$  is  $(k+1)K_2$ -free if and only if for every induced bipartite subgraph having partite sets  $X$  and  $Y$  and no isolated vertices, there exists an  $X' \subseteq X$  with  $|X'| \leq k$  and  $Y \subseteq N(X')$ .*

If we restrict Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 to the class of bipartite graphs, we will obtain similar but simple results. The proof is also similar.

**Theorem 2.3.** *For a bipartite graph  $G$  having partite sets  $X$  and  $Y$  and no isolated vertices,*

$$\alpha''(G) = \max_{X''} \min\{|X'| : X' \subseteq X'' \subseteq X \text{ and } N(X') = N(X'')\}.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. Like Theorem 2.1, this theorem has a useful rephrasing.

**Corollary 2.4.** *A bipartite graph  $G$  having partite sets  $X$  and  $Y$  and no isolated vertices is  $(k+1)K_2$ -free if and only if for any  $X'' \subseteq X$ , there exists  $X' \subseteq X''$  such that  $|X'| \leq k$  and  $N(X') = N(X'')$ .*

The proofs of the following corollaries are direct from Corollary 2.4. The case that  $k = 1$  of Corollary 2.5 is also a corollary of Theorem 1 in [3]. And Corollary 2.6 is well-known and has been used in many contexts.

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $G$  is a  $(k+1)K_2$ -free bipartite graph without isolated vertices, then each partite set of  $G$  contains a set of at most  $k$  vertices whose neighborhood contains the other partite set.*

**Corollary 2.6.** *A bipartite graph  $G$  without isolated vertices is  $2K_2$ -free if and only if for any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in the same color class, either  $N(u) \subseteq N(v)$  or  $N(v) \subseteq N(u)$ .*

**Corollary 2.7.** *If  $d(x) + d(y) < |V(G)|$  for every edge  $xy$  in a bipartite graph  $G$  without isolated vertices, then  $G$  contains an induced  $2K_2$ .*

### 3. A counting theorem

In this section, we consider labeled graph. We label a graph of order  $p$  with the integers from 1 through  $p$ . Two labeled graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are considered the same if they have the same labeled adjacency matrix.

Based on Corollary 2.6, we now construct all  $2K_2$ -free bipartite graphs with the fixed bipartition and without isolated vertices, and count the number of such labeled  $2K_2$ -free bipartite graphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be the set of labeled  $2K_2$ -free bipartite graphs with given partite sets  $X$  and  $Y$  and no isolated vertices. If  $|X| = m$  and  $|Y| = n$ , then*

$$|G| = \sum_{t=1}^{\min(m,n)} t!^2 S(m,t) S(n,t),$$

where  $S(r,t)$  (the Stirling number of the second kind, [9, p. 232]) is the number of partitions of an  $r$ -set into  $t$ -parts.

**Proof.** We note that  $t!S(m,t)$  is the number of ordered partition of an  $m$ -set into  $t$  nonempty subsets. Hence it suffices to establish a bijection between  $G$  and the set of pairs of ordered partitions of  $X$  and  $Y$  into the same number of parts. If  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , then by Corollary 2.6, the neighborhoods of the vertices in  $X$  form a chain  $Y_t \subset Y_{t-1} \subset \cdots \subset Y_2 \subset Y_1 = Y$  by inclusion. Putting vertices with identical neighborhoods into the same block establishes an ordered partition of  $X$  into  $t$  parts. Because  $G$  has no isolated vertices,  $Y_1 = Y$  and  $Y_t \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $Y_t, Y_{t-1} - Y_t, \dots, Y_1 - Y_2$  is an ordered partition of  $Y$  into  $t$  parts. This process is reversible; given ordered partitions of  $X$  and  $Y$  with the same number of parts, we can retrieve the unique  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  that generates it.  $\square$

Finally, we can count the labeled  $2K_2$ -free connected bipartite graphs.

**Corollary 3.2.** *The number of labeled  $2K_2$ -free bipartite graphs on  $n$  vertices is*

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{m} \sum_{t=1}^{\min(m,n)} t!^2 S(m,t) S(n,t).$$

**Proof.** There are  $\binom{n}{m}$  ways to distribute the labels to  $m$  vertices in one part and  $n - m$  vertices in another part. Each resulting bipartite graph is counted twice.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

Many thanks are due to the referees for their valuable comments.

## References

- [1] J.C. Bermond, J. Bond, M. Paoli and C. Peyrat, Surveys in combinatorics, in: Proc. 9th British Combinatorics Conf., Lecture Notes Series 82 (1983).
- [2] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, Macmillan Press, London (1976).

- [3] K. Cameron, Induced matchings, *Discrete App. Math.* 24 (1989) 97–102.
- [4] F.R.K. Chung, A. Gy  rf  s, W.T. Trotter and Z. Tuza, The maximum number of edges in  $2K_2$ -free graphs of bounded degree, *Discrete Math.* 81 (1990) 129–135.
- [5] M. El-Zahar and P. Erd  s, On the existence of two non-neighboring subgraphs in a graph, *Combinatorica* 5(4) (1985) 295–300.
- [6] R.J. Faudree, A. Gy  rf  s, R.H. Schelp and Z. Tuza, Induced matchings in bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.* 78 (1989) 83–87.
- [7] A. Gy  rf  s, Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs. *MTA Sztaki Studies* 177 (1985).
- [8] A. Gy  rf  s, and J. Lehel. On-line and first fit colorings of graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, to appear.
- [9] M. Paoli, G.W. Peck, W.T. Trotter and D.B. West, The maximum number of edges in regular  $2K_2$ -free graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 8 (1992) 165–197.
- [10] H.J. Straight, *Combinatorics, An invitation* (Brooks/Cole Publishing Company).
- [11] S. Wagon, A bound on the chromatic number of graphs without certain induced subgraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 29 (1980) 345–346.